UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,614	03/28/2006	Dong Guoliang	19599.02US1	9784
	7590 06/25/200 ER, & EISENBERG	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1700		HARTMANN, GARY S		
2 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@ngelaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/573,614	GUOLIANG, DONG		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gary Hartmann	3671		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/o	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	Evenine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. The amendments to change or delete many of the indefinite and definite articles cause the grammar to be unclear. Changes to other parts of the language also make the claims grammatically awkward. For example, claim 11 line 2, "a road for motor vehicles" has been changed to "a road for motor vehicle." Since the claim states "consisting of," it appears applicant is claiming a road that can accommodate only a single vehicle. Other issues regarding awkward uses of plurals exist in the claims. The addition of the phrases beginning with "or" in lines 8 and 10 of claim 11 are indefinite because it is unclear what is and is not required by the claims. This repetition of alternative language in a claim recited as "consisting of" is indefinite because there is no clear scope regarding what elements are required and what are optional. In short, the claims as amended are so replete with errors and awkward language that it is unclear what applicant is claiming. Claim 20, as discussed previously, recites a method in the preamble but includes no method steps. This claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,614 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (WO 01/94702).

As best understood in the claims, Lee meets claim limitations. Looking to Figure 2, Lee discloses a multi-level road system. Each of the levels have a similar width and are arranged in a grid pattern.

There is a ramp.

Lee discloses sidewalks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (WO 01/94702).

As discussed above, Lee appears to meet claim recitations. Lee discloses more than levels than it appears applicant is attempting to limit the claims to. It is well known to construct

Art Unit: 3671

as many or as few levels of roads as deemed necessary to meet design traffic demand.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used fewer levels with Lee in order to service an area with a relatively low population; thereby reducing costs since unneeded, unused structures would need not be constructed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 30 April 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As discussed above, the language of the amended claims is so indefinite that the scopes of the claims are unascertainable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,614 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary Hartmann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671